Computing the Lambert W function

James F. Epperson

November 16, 2013

The Lambert W function is one of the immense zoology of special functions in mathematics. Formally, it is defined implicitly as an inverse function: If w = W(x) is the Lambert W function, then we have

$$x = w e^w \tag{1}$$

In the most general circumstances, W is a complex-valued function of a complex variable z. To keep things simple, we will look only at the real-variable case.

Fundamentally, computing W is a root finding problem: Given x, we can find w = W(x) by finding the root (if one exists) of the function

 $F(w) = x - we^w$

(The notation here is a little different than we are used to: x is a fixed parameter, and w is the variable we are trying to find to make F zero.) We can learn quite a bit about the W function by plotting F, which can be done by specifying w and then computing x from (1). The MATLAB commands for this might be:

w = [-400:200]*0.01; x = w.*exp(w); plot(x,w,'b-') axis([-1 6 -4 2]) grid on

The last command lays a grid of dotted lines over the plot. The results are given in Fig. 1 (next page). The problem is that we can't easily compute W(x) for an arbitrary x using this scheme, which is what we need to do.

We can deduce quite a bit about W(x) from this graph, although some analysis would need to be done to confirm our deductions:

- 1. It appears that $W(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$;
- 2. It looks like W(0) = 0 (and that is easy to confirm from (1);
- The derivative W'(x) appears to be infinite for x a little more than -1/2, and W appears to be -1 at this point (a little work with calculus can establish that W'(x) → ∞ as x → -e⁻¹, and W(-e⁻¹) = -1);



Figure 1: First plot of Lambert W function

4. W looks to be double-valued and asymptotic to $-\infty$ for x < 0.

To compute W values, we used Newton's method. For x > 0, we computed out to x = 20 in increments of 0.1, using $W(x_{k-1})$ as the initial value for the computation of $W(x_k)$. For $-e^{-1} < x < 0$, we did essentially the same thing (with a different increment), except we marched from $x = -e^{-1}$ to x = 0, again using the result of the previous iteration as the starting value for the next iteration, and similarly for $x < -e^{-1}$. The Newton iteration in each case is (remember $F' = \frac{dF}{dw}$)

$$w_{n+1} = w_n - \frac{F(w_n)}{F'(w_n)},$$

= $w_n - \left(\frac{x - w_n e^{w_n}}{-e^{w_n} - w_n e^{w_n}}\right),$
= $w_n + \left(\frac{x e^{-w_n} - w_n}{1 + w_n}\right),$

and a little algebra simplifies this to:

$$w_{n+1} = w_n^2 + \frac{xe^{-w_n}}{1+w_n}$$



Figure 2: Plot of Lambert W function as computed by Newton's method

The initial guess for each point was the converged value of the previous point, using the two known values W(0) = 0 and $W(-e^{-1}) = -1$, and the iteration converged (to 10 digits) in at most 6 iterations.

The graph of W(x) is given in Fig. 2, with each segment of the domain colored differently.

Unfortunately, this program is not what we need if all we want to do is compute a single value of W(x). Let's now construct a MATLAB m-file that returns the value of W(x) when given x and a branch indicator. (Remember, W is multiple-valued for $-\infty < x < 0$, so we need to allow for that.) The only issue is to construct a means to compute good initial values for the Newton iteration. For x > -1/e (the blue and yellow portions of the curve), the graph looks a lot like a translated square root function. For x < -1/e (the green branch) the graph looks a lot like a translated reciprocal function. We will use these to build our "initial guess generators."

Consider the function $f(x) = -1 + \sqrt{x + e^{-1}}$, defined for $x \ge -e^{-1}$, as well as $g(x) = e^{-1}/x$, defined for $x \le -e^{-1}$. These are plotted as red lines in Fig. 3. While not wonderfully close to the graph of the Lambert W function, they look to be close enough to obtain our initial guesses.

To complete our work here we wrote a MATLAB m-file to compute W(x) using



Figure 3: Lambert W function (b) with initial guess generators (r)

f and g (given above) to generate the initial guesses for Newton's method. To accommodate the fact that W is multiple-valued, we wrote the code to return two values (assuming the user would choose the proper one for their application). If x > 0, the second returned value is set to 0. For evaluation purposes, only, we also returned the number of iterations to convergence; the maximum number of iterations observed for $-e^{-1} < x < 290$ was 6.